

Revenue Analysis of a Proposal by Congressman Pascrell to Extend the Earned Income Credit to Residents of Puerto Rico

Proposed Changes –

The legislative changes, proposed by Congressman Pascrell, would make residents of Puerto Rico eligible for the earned income credit (EIC). This proposal would extend the EIC to residents of Puerto Rico, but would limit that credit to the amount paid in Social Security taxes. For scoring purposes, this analysis assumes the proposal would be effective in 2012.

Revenue Effect –

Table 1 summarizes the preliminary analysis of the revenue consequences of the proposal. Congressman Pascrell has proposed legislation to include Puerto Rico residents under the EIC. This proposal would limit the EIC to amounts paid in social security taxes. The estimated cost is \$241 million in 2012, \$289 million in 2013, and \$4.9 billion over 10 years, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Revenue Effect of the a Proposal to Extend the Earned Income Credit to Residents of Puerto Rico (Assumes an Estimated Learning Curve)												
<i>(amounts in millions of dollars)</i>												
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
EIC Under Congressman Pascrell Proposal												
EIC Cong. Pascrell Proposal	–	-241	-289	-338	-388	-486	-536	-586	-636	-687	-738	-4,924
Details may not add due to rounding. Revised estimate reflects current population growth statistics for Puerto Rico, from the Puerto Rico Planning Board, Program of Economic and Social Planning, Subprogram of Economic Analysis.												

APPENDIX A

Methodology – The following sections document the procedures and data sources that support the revenue estimate of making residents of Puerto Rico eligible for the earned income credit (EIC). To estimate the revenue effect of this credit, it is necessary to create tax-filing units that reflect the family size and composition. To determine the unit’s eligibility for the credits, it is necessary to distribute by income the tax-filing units. After determining eligibility, it is necessary to build a tax calculator that estimates the average tax benefits by filing status and income.¹

I. Creating Tax Units

Transforming household and family units into tax units for Federal income tax purposes is a non-trivial task. Quite often, classification issues arise due to complex eligibility rules (e.g., earned income credit) and child custody arrangements. These issues can result in tax filing outcomes that are very different from family and household arrangements observed in the Census data files. One example of such family arrangements includes multiple generations living in one household. In this case, it is important to know the relationship of the householders as well as the family members responsible for the financial support.

A micro data file that would allow detailed study and creation of tax units is not available for this analysis. For purposes of this analysis, the estimated tax units rely on data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 2008 Puerto Rico Community Surveys and the Puerto Rico Planning Board, Office of the Census. The Community Survey provides demographic statistics by type of household. The Puerto Rico Planning Board provides the population targets as well as the historical population growth rate.

In addition, it is important to note that creating tax-filing units for this analysis differs somewhat from the traditional approach, because residents of Puerto Rico are not liable for individual income tax on Puerto Rico source income. Distributing households by income and filing status mimics the Federal income tax system, with one important distinction – non-filers.

Under the Federal individual income tax system, many taxpayers earn incomes below the filing threshold and do not even file an income tax return (regardless of potential unclaimed tax benefits). This analysis did not exclude individuals as non-filers due to low-income amounts (income amounts that typically would make the individual a non-filer). Instead, the analysis incorporates into the estimate a ‘learning curve’ as a behavioral response. The learning curve, described below, incorporates a gradual take-up rate for the credits, as the general population becomes educated with the program and comfortable with filing a Federal income tax return.

¹ In the absence of a micro data file, it is necessary to estimate the average tax benefit by filing status and income. Targeting the tax-filing units (by family size and composition) to aggregate demographic statistics maintains the bounds of the estimate. The aggregate average tax benefits will remain consistent with the population statistics.

The estimate includes an adjustment for individuals that earn cash wages or participate in the informal economy and may not fully report their income. However, Census data is likely to have some degree of underreporting, as certain individuals tend to understate their income in survey responses. As a result, it was necessary to moderate the adjustment for the informal economy or cash wages for this potential underreporting behavior.

Unit of Observation – the Community Survey contains records on households, families and individuals that form the basis for the corresponding tax-filing units. Table 2 provides the unadjusted household statistics. To reflect the presence of dependent children, it is necessary to adjust these figures. Further, the adjustments exclude certain individuals that are over the age of 65 (in cases where the individual is not the head of household for tax filing purposes) who rely on retirement or social security income.

For purposes of this analysis, constructing the tax-filing units assumed that single parent households would file as head of households, married-couple households would file as married filing jointly, and non-family households would file as single filers.

Table 2 – Puerto Rico Community Survey, Unadjusted Household Structure Source: U.S. Census, Puerto Rico Community Family Survey, 2006-2008				
	Single Parent Families	Married- couple families	Non-Family Households	Total All Households
Less than \$10,000	142,846	83,528	150,082	376,455
\$10,000 to \$14,999	43,459	64,294	45,757	153,510
\$15,000 to \$24,999	64,755	102,761	43,011	210,527
\$25,000 to \$34,999	42,367	80,780	25,014	148,161
\$35,000 to \$49,999	32,213	81,879	18,913	133,005
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20,535	73,636	13,117	107,288
\$75,000 to \$99,999	5,284	29,125	4,576	38,984
\$100,000 to \$149,999	3,017	21,431	3,050	27,499
\$150,000 to \$199,999	294	6,045	915	7,254
\$200,000 or more	650	6,594	915	8,159
Total	355,419	550,074	305,349	1,210,842

Table 3 provides data on children and their presence by household structure. Approximately 31 percent of all households have children present. Approximately 25 percent of the Puerto Rican population is 17 years of age or younger.²

As shown in Table 3, based on the available data, the analysis assumes that the vast majority of children reside in households with married couples or single adults as a head of household.³

Table 3 – Puerto Rico Community Survey, Selected Statistics Indicating the Presence of Children (under 17 years of age), by Household Structure Source: U.S. Census, Puerto Rico Community Family Survey, 2006-2008					
	In married- couple family household	Male Head of household, no wife present	Female Head of household no husband present	Non- Family Households	Total All Households
Number of Children	530,414	67,680	393,144	6,395	997,633
Average Size of Household	3.73	3.80	3.89	n/a	3.79
Adjusted Average Size of Household	4.52	3.73		1.07	n/a
Percent of Households with Children 17 years or younger	38.2%	35.5%	49.3%	n/a	31.1%

After identifying those households with children, it was necessary to adjust the average household size for purposes of determining the weighted average EIC, as described below.⁴

In addition, it was necessary to make an additional adjustment to those households without children to eliminate households where the heads of household are 65 years of age or older.

² See U.S. Census Bureau, 2008 Puerto Rico Community Survey; Table 1283, Selected Social, Economic, Demographic, and Housing Characteristics in Puerto Rico, 2008.

³ Approximately 6,400 children were in non-family households, based on information from the Puerto Rico Community Family Survey for 2008.

⁴ Generally, the average household size increased

II. Calculating the Tax Benefits

The following current law provisions support the estimate to extend the EIC to residents of Puerto Rico.

Earned Income Credit – Under current law, a refundable earned income credit is available to certain low-income taxpayers. Generally, the taxpayer must have earned income with adjusted gross (AGI) income below certain thresholds.⁵

For eligible taxpayers, the credit amount is determined by multiplying the individuals' earned income by the applicable credit percentage. For 2010, the maximum earned income amount (at which the credit reaches the maximum value) for taxpayers with one qualifying child is \$8,970, with two or more qualifying children is \$12,590, and with no qualifying children, \$5,980. At higher levels of earned income, the limitation amount reduces the credit, determined by multiplying the applicable phaseout percentage by the amount that the individual's adjusted gross income (AGI) (or earned income if greater) exceeds a phaseout amount.

Table 4 provides the income phase-out range for the EIC in 2010. The income phase-out ranges provide an indication of the classes of taxpayers that would receive some portion of the credit. The intent of the EIC is to provide an incentive to low-income individuals to earn income. For instance, consider a taxpayer with no qualifying children. The credit reaches the maximum value at \$5,980, but an individual that earns more than that amount continues to receive the EIC (at a rate less than the maximum). The credit continues to be available until their income reaches the end of the phase-out range or \$13,460. The purpose of this structure was to eliminate the 'cliff' that occurs in many phase-out ranges in other tax benefits.⁶ The EIC continues to provide benefits as an individual's income rises, but also recognizes that the need for that credit diminishes with the increasing income.

Table 4 – 2010 Income at which the EIC Begins to Phaseout and Income Amount at which the EIC is Fully Phased Out				
Filing Status	No Children	One Child	Two Children	Three or more Children
Single, Head of Household				
Begins to Phaseout/ Fully Phased out	\$7,480/\$13,460	\$16,450/\$35,535	\$16,450/\$40,363	\$16,450/\$43,352
Married Filing Jointly				
Begins to Phaseout/ EIC Fully Phased out	\$12,490/\$18,470	\$21,460/\$40,545	\$21,460/\$45,373	\$21,460/\$48,362

⁵ Taxpayers must have a valid social security number, may not file as a married taxpayer filing separately, may not have foreign source income, and must be a U.S. citizen or resident alien.

⁶ Many tax benefits in the Internal Revenue Code stop abruptly at a given income amount, creating a penalty to anyone with \$1 of income above that given income amount.

For purposes of the estimate, the EIC amount applied to the return data represents a weighted average amount. For each income class, the midpoint of the income class was the proxy amount for the average income in the class. Then, the analysis identified the EIC amounts that correspond to the number of dependents. The analysis applied individual weights for dependents (0, 1, 2, and 3) (estimated iteratively) to correspond to the average family size provided in the Puerto Rico Community Survey data.⁷

The analysis estimated separate weights for the dependents associated with each filing status category. To obtain the weighted average EIC benefits by filing status, it was necessary to apply the weights to the EIC amount.

Other Adjustments – One problem with using non-income tax data that distributes Puerto Rico residents by income is the uncertainty regarding the definition of income. In many cases, households may report having income that sustains the household, however for tax purposes (and for EIC qualifying purposes); this income may not be identifiable. In other words, it is unclear that every household reporting income would declare this income on a return. This does not suggest that the income is not legitimate earnings, but rather suggests that many may receive income in cash and lack adequate records to verify such income.

It was necessary to modify the income distribution to reflect the possibility that some taxpayers may have earnings that would not be verifiable and therefore, would make the family ineligible for these benefits.⁸

III. Estimated Revenue Effect

Static Analysis – As expected, the EIC provides the greatest benefit, because it provides a greater per return benefit and it is available to those taxpayers with and without children in the household. With respect to the EIC, the majority of taxpayers would be eligible for the credit as returns in Puerto Rico are concentrated at lower income classes. Graph 2 displays the percentage of returns by filing status that would receive some portion of the EIC, based on this analysis.

Behavioral Response – It is important to consider the behavioral response of offering a program that provides a significant benefit, but requires significant paperwork and information sharing. Early experience in the United States with the EIC indicates that (1) those eligible for the credit often did not claim the credit and (2) those that claimed the credit often did not claim the full amount to which they were entitled.

⁷ Before calculating the weights, it was necessary to reduce the average family size for the number of adults present in the household.

⁸ Overtime, it is possible that with the generosity of benefits comes the incentive to report a greater percentage of income or maintain records that would make eligible many of these households that otherwise would not be eligible.